MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY OPERATING PERMIT TECHNICAL REVIEW DOCUMENT

Permitting and Compliance Division 1520 E. Sixth Avenue P.O. Box 200901 Helena, Montana 59620-0901

Yellowstone Energy Limited Partnership 2215 N. Frontage Road Billings, Montana 59101-7303

The following table summarizes the air quality programs testing, monitoring, and reporting requirements applicable to this facility.

Facility Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	Comments
Source Tests Required	X		Methods 5, 6, 7, 9
Ambient Monitoring Required	X		
COMS Required	X		Opacity
CEMS Required	X		SO ₂ , NO _x , CO
Schedule of Compliance Required		X	
Annual Compliance Certification and Semiannual Reporting Required	X		
Monthly Reporting Required		X	
Quarterly Reporting Required	X		Monthly emission reports from the required monitors are to be submitted quarterly.
Applicable Air Quality Programs			
ARM Subchapter 7 Preconstruction Permitting	X		Permit #2650-07
New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)	X		Subpart Da, Kb, OOO
National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS)			No except for 40 CFR 61 Subpart M
Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT)		X	•
Major New Source Review (NSR)/ Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)	X		The initial permit issued to YELP was subject to both NSR and PSD. YELP has not triggered a NSR/PSD review since that time.
Risk Management Plan Required (RMP)		X	
Acid Rain Title IV		X	
Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM)	X		Appendix H and I of OP2650-01
State Implementation Plan (SIP)	X		June 1998, March 2000 Stipulation

TRD2650-01 1 Date of Decision: 10/24/07 Effective Date: 11/24/07

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECT	TION I. GENERAL INFORMATION	3
A.	Purpose	3
B.	FACILITY LOCATION	
C.	FACILITY BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
D.	CURRENT PERMIT ACTION	5
E.	TAKING AND DAMAGING ANALYSIS	
F.	COMPLIANCE DESIGNATION	5
SECT	TION II. SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS	6
A.	FACILITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION	6
B.	CATEGORICALLY INSIGNIFICANT SOURCES/ACTIVITIES	7
SECT	TION III. PERMIT CONDITIONS	8
A.	EMISSION LIMITS AND STANDARDS	8
B.	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	8
C.	TEST METHODS AND PROCEDURES	9
D.	RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	
E.	REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	9
SECT	TION IV. NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS	10
SECT	TION V. FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS	15
A.	MACT STANDARDS	15
B.	NESHAP STANDARDS	15
C.	NSPS STANDARDS	15
D.	RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN	15

SECTION I. GENERAL INFORMATION

Purpose A.

This document establishes the basis for the decisions made regarding the applicable requirements, monitoring plan, and compliance status of emission units affected by the operating permit proposed for this facility. The document is intended for reference during review of the proposed permit by the EPA and the public. It is also intended to provide background information not included in the operating permit and to document issues that may become important during modifications or renewals of the permit. Conclusions in this document are based on information that was provided in the renewal application submitted by Yellowstone Energy Limited Partnership (YELP) on May 31, 2006, and the original application submitted on June 12, 1996, with additional submittals dated January 29, 1997, and October 13, 1999.

В. **Facility Location**

The YELP complex is located in Yellowstone County, Montana, north of Lockwood and approximately 4 miles northeast of downtown Billings. The facility is located within the boundaries of the Exxon Refinery.

The immediate area, within a few hundred meters of the plant is characterized as flat terrain, the surrounding comprised of a valley which runs southwest to northeast. The valley follows the Yellowstone River as it passes to the northwest. Complex terrain effectively parallels the river, mainly to the south of the plant site and follows the same river orientation.

The climate of the area is typical continental and semi-arid. Rainfall in the vicinity of the complex is less than 15 inches per year with most precipitation occurring from spring through early fall. Winds are moderate with the predominate direction from the southwest.

C. **Facility Background Information**

Montana Air Quality Permit

The original preconstruction **Permit #2650** was issued to Billings Generation Inc. (BGI) on December 13, 1991, for the construction of an electrical power generating and steam cogeneration facility. The application was originally submitted on July 6, 1990. Because the facility was considered a major source, the application was subject to New Source Review and the requirements of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program. BGI was the application submittee and Bison Engineering Inc. was the environmental consultant performing the air quality permitting analyses. The application was deemed complete on November 8, 1991, contingent upon acceptable modifications to existing Exxon Refinery permits because offsets of SO₂ emissions from the Exxon facility were required before construction of the BGI facility could be authorized.

The petroleum coke-fired power plant originally had a name plate rating of 49.5 Megawatts and would produce approximately 42 net Megawatts of electrical power generation. Gaseous emissions and particulates from the Exxon coker process unit are then fired in the combustors. The BGI power plant provides cogenerated steam energy for the Exxon Refinery.

The project included the construction of the BGI facility and some modifications at the Exxon Refinery Coker-CO boiler. The modifications at the Refinery included the installation of flue gas duct work to divert the coker unit process gas from the existing Coker-CO boiler to the BGI facility. In addition, fluid coke was to be diverted from the Coker CO boiler and to be pneumatically fed to the BGI facility and finally steam pipelines between BGI and Exxon facilities were added.

TRD2650-01 3 Date of Decision: 10/24/07

An air-cooled condenser (ACC) along with a service cooling water cooling tower is used by the BGI power plant. Water resource demand at the plant is minor with an ACC system. Potable water requirements as well as service cooling water are available from the local water users association.

An additional 99 tons per year of SO₂ emission reduction may be realized from the Exxon Refinery. The source of this reduction at the refinery will come from high sulfur fuel oil burning. The annual SO₂ offset or net SO₂ reduction that can be expected from this overall project is 238 tons (BGI and Exxon coker gas).

Permit #2650-01 was issued to BGI on March 11, 1992. BGI requested a modification to support SO₂ emission reductions in conjunction with the Exxon refinery and Permit Modification #1564-03. The modified BGI permit addressed EPA concerns in the original Permit (#2650). The request was addressed under the provisions of Subchapter 11, ARM 16.8.1113(1)(b). The changes addressed verification of required offsets from the Exxon facility, contingency measures if the offsets are not met and additional modeling performed to verify that the project would not cause significant impacts to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAOS).

Permit #2650-02 was issued March 25, 1993, to change the design of the facility from one main baghouse controlling the boilers exhausting through two stacks to two baghouses exhausting through one stack.

Permit Alteration #2650-03 was issued on December 23, 1995, to change the name of the facility from BGI to YELP; to allow the burning of other petroleum cokes and cat slurry oil in the boilers as alternative fuels; to make the permit consistent with the stipulation signed between the Department and YELP; to change the description of the facility to include the current plant design which eliminated the parasitic load formerly driven by steam in the plant; to remove the lb/MMBtu requirements from some of the limits contained in Section II.I. of the permit; to clarify the requirements of Section II.I.5; to identify the requirements references more clearly; and to remove the requirement limiting the sulfur content of the petroleum coke.

Permit Modification #2650-04 was issued on May 18, 1996, to change the coke sampling and analysis requirements for the facility. Previously, YELP had been required to sample the coke supply to the boilers on a daily basis for sulfur content and heating value. YELP has shown by this sampling that there is little variability in the sulfur content of the coke and the Department has agreed that weekly sampling will be sufficient to demonstrate compliance with applicable requirements. This modification did not result in an increase in the emissions of any pollutant from the facility.

Permit Alteration #2650-05 was issued on December 26, 1999, for the addition of an enclosed petroleum coke unloading/crushing/processing plant and a processed petroleum coke storage and handling building (Coke Barn) to the existing permitted equipment. Further, YELP requested an extension of time, under the general permit conditions, to install the Cat Slurry oil tank.

Permit Modification #2650-06 was issued on February 11, 2000, to correct referencing errors that needed to be corrected prior to the issuance of the Title V operating permit for the YELP facility.

The Department received a request to modify Permit #2650-06 on June 9, 2000. The permit action involved changing the solid petroleum coke sampling frequency (sulfur and heat content) from once per week to once per month, permitting coke processing in the existing Limestone Unloading, Crushing, and Conveying facility, and permitting the unloading and storage of off-site petroleum coke at the Exxon Refinery coke storage area. **Permit #2650-07** replaced Permit #2650-06.

TRD2650-01 Date of Decision: 10/24/07 4

Title V Operating Permit

The original operating permit application was submitted on June 12, 1996, with additional submittals dated January 29, 1997, and October 13, 1999. Permit #OP2650-00 was effective on November 28, 2001.

D. **Current Permit Action**

On May 31, 2006, YELP submitted an operating permit renewal application. **Permit #OP2650-01** replaces Permit #OP2650-00.

Ε. **Taking and Damaging Analysis**

HB 311, the Montana Private Property Assessment Act, requires analysis of every proposed state agency administrative rule, policy, permit condition or permit denial, pertaining to an environmental matter, to determine whether the state action constitutes a taking or damaging of private real property that requires compensation under the Montana or U.S. Constitution. As part of issuing an operating permit, the Department is required to complete a Taking and Damaging Checklist. As required by 2-10-101 through 105, MCA, the Department has conducted a private property taking and damaging assessment and has determined there are no taking or damaging implications. The checklist was completed on May 17, 2007.

F. **Compliance Designation**

YELP was last inspected on February 16, 2005. With the exception of the (south) east coke reclaim silo, the facility's main stack and plant-wide emissions were deemed to be in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations. The (south) east coke reclaim silo vent had not been available for testing during the July 2004 Material Handling and Transfer Sources PM emissions performance testing, therefore compliance could not be verified.

TRD2650-01 5 Date of Decision: 10/24/07

SECTION II. SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS

A. Facility Process Description

The primary operation of the YELP complex is the production of energy in the form of steam. The plant, a 65 Megawatt electric generating facility uses both petroleum coke and coker gas as the primary fuels to fire two circulating fluidized bed combustion (CFBC) boilers. These boilers in turn produce steam of which a portion is provided to the Exxon Refinery, a small portion is used to run various fans and pumps at the site and the remainder is used to generate electricity through a steam turbine. The facility consumes approximately 224,300 tons per year of coke.

Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) emissions are controlled through limestone injection into the CFBC boilers. Limestone injection fits well with the design of a CFBC boiler and provides for a substantial reduction in these emissions. The limestone upon reaching a high enough temperature calcines to lime. Lime reacts with SO₂ to form calcium sulfites and calcium sulfates. These compounds are contained in the gas stream as particulates. The system is designed to remove a minimum of 92% of the incoming sulfur compounds.

Particulate emissions from the CFBC units are controlled via baghouses. The baghouses are downstream of the CFBC boilers just prior to the stack. The baghouses serve the purpose of removing over 99% of the incoming particulate stream, including sulfur particulate.

NO₂, VOC and CO emissions are controlled through the CFBC design. The CFBC system, by design, operates at lower temperatures than a standard pulverized coal/coke system. One of the primary mechanisms that produces NO₂ is a high combustion temperature. The CFBC system operates at a lower temperature and thus produces less NO₂ than its counterpart systems. The CFBC boiler by its own design is a "low NO_x" burner.

Limestone is delivered to this facility from the quarry by truck. The material is unloaded (bottom dumped) inside an enclosed area, crushed, then conveyed into a storage silo. From the silo, limestone is added to the boiler thorough a closed system. Particulate emissions are controlled by the baghouse system.

Petroleum coke (coke) is supplied to the plant from two sources. The first is production coke from the Exxon refinery's coker unit. As coke is manufactured at the refinery, it will be pneumatically conveyed from a storage silo at the Exxon property to the coke storage silos at YELP. If the storage silos are full, the coke can be transferred to an open storage pile. The coke can then be supplied from the existing coke inventory by pneumatically conveying the coke from the silos, or from the open storage pile by using a front-end loader and transferring the coke to a hopper at the storage silo. In addition, petroleum coke may be delivered by truck from other suppliers. Coke from suppliers not requiring screening and sizing is unloaded from the trucks pneumatically via a truck fill line, particulate emissions from this activity are controlled by baghouses at the two coke silos.

Coke from suppliers requiring additional crushing or screening is delivered in bottom dump trucks. These trucks use the same unloading facility used by the limestone delivery trucks. Coke is resized using the limestone crushing equipment before it is pneumatically conveyed from the crusher to the pneumatic header. Emissions from the truck unloading and crushing are controlled by the baghouse system. All baghouses at the site are either a fabric or cartridge type filter unit.

Bottom ash is collected at the boiler and conveyed to a storage silo equipped with a baghouse. Baghouse solids are also transferred to this silo. The ash and solids are transported via covered trucks to the limestone quarry for disposal.

TRD2650-01 Date of Decision: 10/24/07 6

Fluid catalytic cracking slurry oil (CAT slurry oil), an Exxon Refinery product, is also an optional fuel source, transferred from the Exxon storage tanks to a 14,000 gallon tank at YELP. The CAT slurry oil would be kept at approximately 200 °F, by circulating the slurry through a heat exchanger heated by low pressure steam, keeping the oil viscous and flowing. Fuel for the boilers can be taken from the heat exchanger return line and routed through a ring header at the boilers.

B. Categorically Insignificant Sources/Activities

All emission units were identified by YELP as significant in the operating permit application. The Department determined several emission units listed in the table in Appendix B were insignificant emission units. YELP is not required to update a list of insignificant emission units; therefore, the emission units and/or activities may change from those specified in Appendix B.

TRD2650-01 7 Date of Decision: 10/24/07

SECTION III. PERMIT CONDITIONS

A. Emission Limits and Standards

There are no emission limits or standards identified in this permit that were not previously applicable to the facility either by rule, permit or by the Board of Environmental Review (BER) Order signed on June 12, 1998. The rule citations for all emission limits are included in the operating permit.

Opacity

This permit requires that a Continuous Emissions Monitoring Systems (CEMS) be installed on the main stack for the two Circulating Fluidized Bed Combustion (CFBC) boilers. For the remainder of sources included in the operating permit a Method 9 will be required as requested by the Department. The remaining sources include baghouses and fugitive sources. It was determined that these sources are not likely to violate the opacity limits and thus a Method 9 test would not provide any environmental benefit.

Particulate Matter

This permit requires annual Method 5 tests for the main stack. Method 5 tests are required every 4 years for the baghouses with 0.01 gr/dscf emission limits. For the remaining fugitive sources, Method 5 tests will be performed as requested by the Department.

SO₂ Emission Limits

YELP has established emission limits for the Main stack where the CFBC boiler emissions are vented. These limits are the result of the permit, Stipulation, and the NSPS standards. YELP is required to operate a SO₂ CEMS and perform annual testing (Method 6/6C) to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits.

NO_x Emission Limits

YELP has established emission limits for the Main stack where the CFBC boiler emissions are vented. These limits are the result of the permit and the NSPS standards. YELP is required to operate a NO_x CEMS and perform annual testing (Method 7/7E) to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits.

CO Emission Limits

YELP has established emission limits for the Main stack where the CFBC boiler emissions are vented. These limits are the result of the permit and the NSPS standards. YELP is required to operate a CO CEMS and perform annual testing (Method 3/3B) to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits.

B. Monitoring Requirements

ARM 17.8.1212(1) requires that all monitoring and analysis procedures or test methods required under applicable requirements are contained in operating permits. In addition, when the applicable requirement does not require periodic testing or monitoring, periodic monitoring must be prescribed that is sufficient to yield reliable data from the relevant time period that is representative of the source's compliance with the permit.

TRD2650-01 8 Date of Decision: 10/24/07

Effective Date: 11/24/07

The requirements for testing, monitoring, recordkeeping, reporting, and compliance certification sufficient to assure compliance do not require the permit to impose the same level of rigor for all emission units. Furthermore, it does not require extensive testing or monitoring to assure compliance with the applicable requirements for emission units that do not have significant potential to violate emission limitations or other requirements under normal operating conditions. When compliance with the underlying applicable requirement for a insignificant emission unit is not threatened by lack of regular monitoring and when periodic testing or monitoring is not otherwise required by the applicable requirement, the status quo (i.e., no monitoring) will meet the requirements of ARM 17.8.1212(1). Therefore, the permit does not include monitoring for insignificant emission units.

The permit includes periodic monitoring or recordkeeping for each applicable requirement. The information obtained from the monitoring and recordkeeping will be used by the permittee to periodically certify compliance with the emission limits and standards. However, the Department may request additional testing to determine compliance with the emission limits and standards.

C. Test Methods and Procedures

The operating permit may not require testing for all sources if routine monitoring is used to determine compliance, but the Department has the authority to require testing if deemed necessary to determine compliance with an emission limit or standard. In addition, the permittee may elect to voluntarily conduct compliance testing to confirm its compliance status.

D. Recordkeeping Requirements

The permittee is required to keep all records listed in the operating permit as a permanent business record for at least 5 years following the date of the generation of the record.

E. Reporting Requirements

Reporting requirements are included in the permit for each emissions unit and Section V of the operating permit "General Conditions" explains the reporting requirements. However, the permittee is required to submit semiannual and annual monitoring reports to the Department and to annually certify compliance with the applicable requirements contained in the permit. The reports must include a list of all emission limit and monitoring deviations, the reason for any deviation, and the corrective action taken as a result of any deviation.

F. Public Notice

In accordance with ARM 17.8.1232, a public notice was published in the *Billings Gazette* newspaper on or before July 30, 2007. The Department provided a 30-day public comment period on the draft operating permit from July 30, 2007, to August 29, 2007. ARM 17.8.1232 requires the Department to keep a record of both comments and issues raised during the public participation process. The Department did not receive any comments and were not made aware of any issues regarding the draft operating permit.

TRD2650-01 9

SECTION IV. NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS

Pursuant to ARM 17.8.1221, YELP requested a permit shield for all non-applicable regulatory requirements and regulatory orders identified in the tables in Section 8 of the permit application. In addition, the YELP permit application identified a permit shield request for applicable requirements for both the facility and for certain emission units. The Department has determined that the requirements identified in the permit application for the individual emissions units are non-applicable. These requirements are contained in the permit in Section IV- Non-applicable Requirements.

The following table outlines those requirements that YELP had identified as non-applicable in the permit application but will not be included in the operating permit as non-applicable. The table includes both the applicable requirement and reason that the Department did not identify this requirement as nonapplicable.

A	pplicable Requirement	Reason	
	Subchapter 3 Emission	on Standards	
ARM 17.8.301 ARM 17.8.302	Definitions Incorporation by Reference	These rules consist of either a statement of purpose, applicability statement, regulatory definition or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have specific requirements associated with them.	
ARM 17.8.325 ARM 17.8.326	Motor Vehicles Prohibited Materials for Wood or Coal Residential Stoves	These regulations may not be applicable to the source at this time; however, they may become applicable during the life of the permit.	
ARM 17.8.330	Definitions	This rule consists of either a statement of purpose, applicability statement, regulatory definition or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have specific requirements associated with them.	
	Subchapter 4 Stac	k Heights	
ARM 17.8.401	Definitions	This rule consists of either a statement of purpose, applicability statement, regulatory definition or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have specific requirements associated with them.	
ARM 17.8.402 ARM 17.8.403	•	These are procedural rules that have specific requirements that may become relevant to a major source during the permit span.	
Subchapter 5 Air Quality Permit Application, Operation and Open Burning Fees			
ARM 17.8.501	Definitions	This rule consists of either a statement of purpose, applicability statement, regulatory definition or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have specific requirements associated with them.	

TRD2650-01 10 Date of Decision: 10/24/07

A	pplicable Requirement	Reason	
ARM 17.8.510	Annual Review	This rule does not have specific requirements for major sources because they are requirements for EPA or state and local authorities. Furthermore, this rule can be used as authority to impose specific requirements on a major source.	
ARM 17.8.511 ARM 17.8.514 ARM 17.8.515	Air Quality Permit Application/Operation Fee Assessment Appeal Procedures Air Quality Open Burning Fees Air Quality Open Burning Fees for Conditional, Emergency, Christmas Tree Waste, and Commercial Film Production Open Burning Permits	These are procedural rules that have specific requirements that may become relevant to a major source during the permit span.	
	Subchapter 6 Oper	n Burning	
ARM 17.8.601 ARM 17.8.602	Definitions Incorporation by Reference	These rules consist of either a statement of purpose, applicability statement, regulatory definition or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have specific requirements associated with them.	
ARM 17.8.611 ARM 17.8.612	Emergency Open Burning Permits Conditional Air Quality Open Burning Permits		
ARM 17.8.613	Christmas Tree Waste Open Burning Permits	The following regulations may not be applicable to the source at this time; however, these regulations may become	
ARM 17.8.614	Commercial Film Production Open Burning Permits	applicable during the life of the permit.	
ARM 17.8.615	Firefighter Training		
Subch	apter 7 Permit, Construction and Ope	ration of Air Contaminant Sources	
ARM 17.8.740 e	operation of air contaminant sources	The following regulations may not be applicable to the source at this time; however, these regulations may become applicable during the life of the permit.	
Subchapter 8 Prevention of Significant Deterioration			
ARM 17.8.801 ARM 17.8.802	Definitions Incorporation by Reference	These rules consist of either a statement of purpose, applicability statement, regulatory definition or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have specific requirements associated with them.	
ARM 17.8.804	Ambient Air Increments		
ARM 17.8.805	Ambient Air Ceilings	The following regulations may not be	
ARM 17.8.806	Restrictions on Area Classifications	applicable to the source at this time; however, these regulations may become	
ARM 17.8.807	Exclusions from Increment Consumption	applicable during the life of the permit	

TRD2650-01 11 Date of Decision: 10/24/07 Effective Date: 11/24/07

Ap	plicable Requirement	Reason		
ARM 17.8.808 ARM 17.8.825 ARM 17.8.826	Redesignation Sources Impacting Federal Class I Areas Additional Requirements Public Participation	These rules do not have specific requirements for major sources because they are requirements for EPA or state and local authorities. Furthermore, these rules can be used as authority to impose specific requirements on a major source.		
ARM 17.8.828	Innovative Control Technology	This regulation is a state regulation, which may not be applicable to the source at this time; however, this regulation may become applicable during the life of the permit.		
Subchapter 9	Permit Requirements for Major Sta Located Within Nonatt	ationary Sources or Major Modifications ainment Areas		
ARM 17.8.901 ARM 17.8.902 ARM 17.8.904	Definitions Incorporation by Reference When Air Quality Preconstruction Permit Required	These rules consist of a statement of purpose, applicability statement, regulatory definitions or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have specific requirements associated with them.		
ARM 17.8.905 ARM 17.8.906	Additional Conditions of Air Quality Preconstruction Permit Baseline for Determining Credit for Emissions and Air Quality Offsets	These regulations are state regulations, which may not be applicable to the source at this time; however, these regulations may become applicable during the life of the permit.		
	O Montana Air Quality Permit Requi jor Modifications Located Within At	irements for Major Stationary Sources or tainment or Unclassified Areas		
ARM 17.8.1001 ARM 17.8.1002 ARM 17.8.1004	Definitions Incorporation by Reference When Air Quality Preconstruction Permit Required	These rules consist of a statement of purpose, applicability statement, regulatory definitions or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have specific requirements associated with them.		
ARM 17.8.1005 ARM 17.8.1006 ARM 17.8.1007	Additional Conditions of Air Quality Preconstruction Permit Review of Specified Sources for Air Quality Impact Baseline for Determining Credit for Emissions and Air Quality Offsets	These regulations may not be applicable to the source at this time; however, these regulations may become applicable during the life of the permit.		
	Subchapter 11 Visibility Impact Assessment			
ARM 17.8.1101 ARM 17.8.1102 ARM 17.8.1103	Definitions Incorporation by Reference Applicability Visibility Requirements	These rules consist of a statement of purpose, applicability statement, regulatory definitions or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have specific requirements associated with them.		

TRD2650-01 12

App	plicable Requirement	Reason
ARM 17.8.1108 ARM 17.8.1109	Notification of Permit Application Adverse Impact and Federal Land Management	These rules do not have specific requirements for major sources because they are requirements for EPA or state and local authorities. Furthermore, these rules can be used as authority to impose specific requirements on a major source.
	Subchapter 12 Operating	Permit Program
ARM 17.8.1201	Definitions	These rules consist of a statement of
ARM 17.8.1202	Incorporation by Reference	purpose, applicability statement, regulatory
ARM 17.8.1203	Air Quality Operating Program Overview	definitions or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have
ARM 17.8.1234	Acid Rain – Permits Regulation	specific requirements associated with them.
ARM 17.8.1210	General Requirements for Air	
ARM 17.8.1211	Quality Permit Content Requirements for Air Quality Operating Permit Content Relating to Emission Limitations and Standards, and other Requirements Monitoring	
ARM 17.8.1212	Requirements for Air Quality Operating Permit Content Relating to Monitoring, Recordkeeping, and Reporting	
ARM 17.8.1213	Requirements for Air Quality Operating Permit Content Relating to Compliance	
ARM 17.8.1214	Requirements for Air Quality Operating Permit Content Relating to Permit Shield and Emergencies	These rules do not have specific requirements for major sources because they
ARM 17.8.1215	Requirements for Air Quality Operating Permit Content Relating to Operational Flexibility	are requirements for EPA or state and local authorities. Furthermore, these rules can be
ARM 17.8.1222	General Air Quality Operating Permits	used as authority to impose specific requirements on a major source.
ARM 17.8.1223	Temporary Air Quality Operating Permits	
ARM 17.8.1225	Additional Requirements for Air Quality Operating Permit Amendments	
ARM 17.8.1228	Additional Requirements for Air Quality Operating Permit Revocation, Reopening, and Revision for Cause	
ARM 17.8.1231	Notice of Termination, Modification, or Revocation and Reissuance by the Administrator for Cause	
ARM 17.8.1232	Public Paricipation	

TRD2650-01 13 Date of Decision: 10/24/07 Effective Date: 11/24/07

	Applicable Requirement	Reason
ARM 17.8.122 ARM 17.8.122 ARM 17.8.122	Operational Flexibility and Air Quality Operating Permit Changes that Do Not Require Revisions Additional Requirements for Minor Air Quality Operating Permit Modifications	These are procedural rules that have specific requirements that may become relevant to a major source during the permit span.
	Federal Require	ements
40 CFR 51 40 CFR 54 40 CFR 56	Requirements for Preparation, Adoption, and Submittal of Implementation Plans Prior Notice of Citizen Suits Regional Consistency	These rules do not have specific requirements for major sources because they are requirements for EPA or state and local authorities. Furthermore, these rules can be used as authority to impose specific requirements on a major source
40 CFR 52	Approval and Promulgation of	
40 CFR 62	Implementation Plans Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants 71 State Operating Permit Programs and EPA Regulations on Federal Operating Permit Programs Designation of Areas for Air Quality	These rules contain requirements for regulatory authorities and not major sources; these rules can be used to impose specific requirements on a major source.
	Planning Purposes	
40 CFR 60.11 40 CFR 60.14 40 CFR 60.15	Compliance with Standards and Maintenance Requirements Modification Reconstruction	These regulations may not be applicable to the source at this time; however, these regulations may become applicable during the life of the permit.
40 CFR 61	Subpart M National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants - Asbestos	This is a federal regulation that has specific procedural requirements that may become relevant to the major source during the permit term.
40 CFR 63	Subpart A General Provisions	These federal regulations consist of an applicability statement. These regulations may not be applicable to the source at this time; however, these regulations may become applicable during the life of the permit.

TRD2650-01 Date of Decision: 10/24/07 14

Effective Date: 11/24/07

SECTION V. FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS

A. MACT Standards

As of the date of the draft permit, the Department is unaware of any future requirement that may be promulgated during the permit term for which this facility must comply.

B. NESHAP Standards

As of the date of the draft permit, the Department is unaware of any future requirement that may be promulgated during the permit term for which this facility must comply, other than Subpart M for Asbestos.

C. NSPS Standards

As of the date of the draft permit, the Department is unaware of any NSPS Standards that are applicable to the facility other than 40 CFR 60, Subpart Da for the (CFBC) Boilers, 40 CFR 60, Subpart Kb for the Cat Slurry Oil Tank, and 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO for limestone crushing.

D. Risk Management Plan

As of the date of the draft permit, this facility does not exceed the minimum threshold quantities for any regulated substance listed in 40 CFR 68.115 for any facility process. Consequently, this facility was not required to submit a Risk Management Plan.

If a facility has more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance in a process, the facility must comply with 40 CFR 68 requirements no later than June 21, 1999; 3 years after the date on which a regulated substance is first listed under 40 CFR 68.130; or the date on that a regulated substance is first present in more than a threshold quantity in a process, whichever is later.

TRD2650-01 15 Date of Decision: 10/24/07

Effective Date: 11/24/07